The authors would like to thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for research grants (R&D No. 123-3203-1402 and 123-3417-2201). The compound was synthesized while one of the authors (OBS) was a JSPS-VCC exchange fellow at Kyoto University and the fellowship is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71212 (12 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: HH1031]

References

- Casellato, U., Ajo, D., Valle, G., Corain, B., Longato, B. & Grazizni, R. (1988). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 18, 583–590.
 Einstein, F. W. B. & Willis, A. C. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 39–43.
- Hamilton, W. C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 502-510.
- Hayashi, T., Mise, T., Fukushima, M., Kagotani, M., Nagashima, N., Hamada, Y., Matsumoto, A., Kawakami, S., Konishi, M., Yamamoto, K. & Kumada, M. (1980). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 53, 1138-1142.
- Rogers, D. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, 734-736
- Shawkataly, O. B., Fun, H.-K., Chinnakali, K., Yip, B.-C., Teoh, S.-G., Ito, Y. & Sawamura, M. (1992). Acta Cryst. C49, 139–141.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1900-1902

Tetrakis(tetramethylammonium) Octamolybdate Dihydrate

WILLIAM T. A. HARRISON

Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-5641, USA

GALEN D. STUCKY AND THURMAN E. GIER

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9510, USA

(Received 11 March 1993; accepted 13 May 1993)

Abstract

The synthesis and crystal structure of tetrakis(tetramethylammonium) octamolybdate dihydrate, $[N(CH_3)_4]_4$ - $[Mo_8O_{26}].2H_2O$, is reported. The Mo_8O_26 unit adopts the β configuration for that ion. Mo—O distances and angles are comparable with those found in previous studies of the same anion.

Comment

Acidifying a clear solution of $(TMA)_2(MoO_4)$ [TMA = tetramethylammonium cation], prepared from appropriate quantities of TMAOH and MoO₃, to a pH of about 7 gave a microcrystalline precipitate. On aging for several days at 373 K, crystals adequate for the structure determination were formed, of up to 1 mm in linear dimension.

The octamolybdate anion adopts the β -Mo₈O₂₆ configuration (Fig. 1) in [N(CH₃)₄]₄[Mo₈O₂₆].2H₂O, as previously observed for the octamolybdate group in (NH₄)₄[Mo₈O₂₆].4H₂O (Atovmyan & Krasochka, 1972), compared with the α -Mo₈O₂₆ form observed in [N(C₄H₉)₄]₄[Mo₈O₂₆] (Day, Fredrich, Klemperer & Shum, 1977). Mo—O distances and O—Mo—O angles agree with the previous study, and the center of the molecule is located at an inversion center; the approximate point symmetry is 2/m (C_{2ν}). Since the α - and β conformers of the Mo₈O₂₆ unit are highly flexible and interconvertable (Masters, Gheller, Brownlee, O'Connor & Wedd, 1980), it is apparent that subtle packing forces are significant in determining which Mo₈O₂₆ isomer crystallizes in combination with a particular organic cation.



Fig. 1. View of the Mo₈O₂₆ anion, showing the labeling of the asymmetric atoms. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability levels.

Experimental

Crystal data

 $[(CH_3)_4N]_4[Mo_8O_{26}].2H_2O$ $D_x = 2.265 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $M_r = 1516.12$ $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Triclinic Cell parameters from 25 $P\overline{1}$ a = 10.539 (2) Å reflections $\theta = 5 - 9^{\circ}$ b = 11.902 (2) Å $\mu = 2.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 9.714 (2) Å $\alpha = 96.301 \ (6)^{\circ}$ T = 298 K $\beta = 108.962 (5)^{\circ}$ Lump $\gamma = 75.234 \ (4)^{\circ}$ $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.40$ mm $V = 1114 (2) \text{ Å}^3$ Colorless Z = 1

> Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1993

Data collection		
Huber automated diffrac-	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$	Mo(1
tometer	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$	Mo(1
AIDA scans	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$	Mo(1
Abcomption correction:	$l = 0 \rightarrow 0$	Mo(1
Absorption correction:	$l = 0 \rightarrow 9$	Mo(1
none	3 standard reflections	Mo(1
2550 measured reflections	monitored every 100	Mo(2
2550 independent reflections	reflections	Mo(2
1805 observed reflections	intensity variation:	Mo(2
$[I > 3\sigma(I)]$	< +2%	Mo(2
[1 > 50(1)]	·	Mo(2
		Mo(3
		Mo(3
Refinement		Mo(3
Refinement on F	$\Delta q_{max} = 0.82 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	Mo(3
Final $P = 0.048$	$\Delta \rho = -0.89 \text{ e}^{\text{Å}^{-3}}$	O(1)-
$r_{\rm mar} R = 0.040$	Extinction correction:	O(2)-
WR = 0.050	Extinction confection.	O(2)-
1805 reflections	Larson (1967)	0(3)-
218 parameters	Extinction coefficient: 42 (4)	0(3)
H-atom positions not deter-	Atomic scattering factors	O(3)
mined	from International Tables	O(4)
w = 1.0	for X-ray Crystallogra-	O(4)
$(\Delta / \sigma) = 0.020$	phy (1974, Vol. IV, Table	O(4)
$(\Delta / 0)_{\text{max}} = 0.020$	2 2B)	O(5)

Data collection: UCLA Crystallographic Software (Strouse, 1982). Cell refinement: UCLA Crystallographic Software. Data reduction: UCLA Crystallographic Software. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986). Program(s) used to refine structure: CRYSTALS (Carruthers, Watkin & Betteridge, 1990). Molecular graphics: locally modified ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: local routines.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters (Å²)

$U_{\text{eq}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	y	z	U_{eq}
Mo(1)	0.9719(1)	0.4784 (1)	0.1650(1)	0.0342
Mo(2)	0.9328(1)	0.2585(1)	-0.2528 (1)	0.0431
Mo(3)	1.1828(1)	0.2719(1)	0.0504(1)	0.0360
Mo(4)	0.7239(1)	0.4675 (1)	-0.1324 (1)	0.0378
O(1)	0.9643 (9)	0.3934 (7)	-0.043 (1)	0.0369
O(2)	0.9265 (9)	0.3811 (8)	0.238 (1)	0.0444
O(3)	1.1662 (8)	0.4046 (7)	0.1922 (9)	0.0313
O(4)	0.7922 (9)	0.5649 (7)	0.0436 (9)	0.0373
O(5)	0.9965 (9)	0.5871 (8)	0.300(1)	0.0453
0(6)	0.7662 (9)	0.3775 (8)	-0.2939 (9)	0.0409
0(7)	1.1305 (9)	0.2193 (8)	-0.145(1)	0.0422
O(8)	0.884 (1)	0.1644 (8)	-0.171 (1)	0.0541
O(9)	0.933 (1)	0.1957 (9)	-0.418 (1)	0.0642
O(10)	1.130(1)	0.1810 (8)	0.130(1)	0.0463
O(11)	1.359 (1)	0.2166 (8)	0.095 (1)	0.0542
O(12)	0.687(1)	0.3680 (9)	-0.051 (1)	0.0545
O(13)	0.569(1)	0.5553 (8)	-0.218(1)	0.0520
O(20)	0.698 (2)	0.016(1)	0.691 (2)	0.1063
N(1)	0.750 (1)	0.118 (1)	0.203 (1)	0.0501
N(2)	0.655 (1)	0.683 (1)	0.413(1)	0.0485
C(1)	0.691 (2)	0.242 (1)	0.246 (2)	0.0515
C(2)	0.737 (2)	0.035 (1)	0.303 (2)	0.0696
C(3)	0.901 (2)	0.105 (2)	0.218 (2)	0.0655
C(4)	0.673 (2)	0.095 (2)	0.047 (2)	0.0767
C(5)	0.769 (2)	0.745 (2)	0.493 (2)	0.0620
C(6)	0.598 (2)	0.723 (2)	0.257 (2)	0.0633
C(7)	0.710 (2)	0.555 (1)	0.421 (2)	0.0688
C(8)	0.544 (2)	0.721 (2)	0.489 (2)	0.0692

Mo(1) - O(1)	2.142 (9)	Mo(3)—O(10)	1.69 (1)
$M_0(1) - O(1^i)$	2.373 (9)	$M_0(3) - O(11)$	1.72 (1)
$M_0(1) - O(2)$	1.660 (9)	$M_{0}(4) - O(1)$	2.351 (9)
$M_0(1) - O(3)$	1.950 (8)	$Mo(4) - O(3^{i})$	2.351 (9)
$M_0(1) = O(4)$	1.960 (8)	$M_0(4) - O(4)$	1.994 (9)
$M_0(1) = O(5)$	1.745 (9)	$M_0(4) - O(6)$	1.908 (9)
$M_0(2) = O(1)$	2 440 (9)	$M_0(4) - O(12)$	1.68 (1)
$M_0(2) = O(5^i)$	2 29 (1)	$M_0(4) = O(13)$	1.693 (9)
$M_0(2) = O(6)$	1 906 (9)	N(1) - C(1)	1.51 (2)
$M_0(2) = O(7)$	1.958 (9)	N(1) - C(2)	1 52 (2)
$M_0(2) = O(8)$	1.70(1)	N(1) - C(3)	1.51 (2)
$M_0(2) = O(0)$ $M_0(2) = O(0)$	1.69(1)	N(1) - C(4)	1 50 (2)
$M_0(2) = O(3)$ $M_0(3) = O(1)$	2 330 (0)	N(2) - C(5)	1.50 (2)
$M_0(3) = O(1)$	1.090 (9)	N(2) - C(6)	1.52 (2)
$M_0(3) = O(3)$	1.969 (6)	N(2) = C(0)	1.52(2) 1.40(2)
$M_0(3) = O(4)$	1 991 (0)	N(2) = C(7)	1.49(2)
WO(3) = O(7)	1.001 (9)	N(2) = C(8)	1.55 (2)
O(1) - Mo(1) - O(1')	76.3 (3)	O(11) - Mo(3) - O(1)	161.1 (4)
O(2) - Mo(1) - O(1)	98.8 (4)	O(11) - Mo(3) - O(3)	102.8 (4)
$O(2) - Mo(1) - O(1^{1})$	175.1 (4)	$O(11) - Mo(3) - O(4^{1})$	89.4 (4)
O(3) - Mo(1) - O(1)	78.1 (3)	O(11) - Mo(3) - O(7)	99.5 (5)
$O(3) - Mo(1) - O(1^{1})$	78.2 (3)	O(11) - Mo(3) - O(10)	103.6 (5)
O(3)—Mo(1)—O(2)	100.7 (4)	$O(3^{1})-Mo(4)-O(1)$	71.5 (3)
O(4)-Mo(1)-O(1)	79.2 (3)	O(4) - Mo(4) - O(1)	73.6 (3)
$O(4) - Mo(1) - O(1^{i})$	77.7 (3)	$O(4) - Mo(4) - O(3^{i})$	71.2 (3)
O(4) - Mo(1) - O(2)	101.8 (4)	O(6) - Mo(4) - O(1)	76.8 (3)
O(4) - Mo(1) - O(3)	150.1 (4)	$O(6) - Mo(4) - O(3^{i})$	83.4 (3)
O(5)-Mo(1)-O(1)	156.9 (4)	O(6)—Mo(4)—O(4)	145.8 (4)
$O(5) - Mo(1) - O(1^{i})$	80.6 (4)	O(12) - Mo(4) - O(1)	94.3 (4)
O(5) - Mo(1) - O(2)	104.3 (4)	$O(12) - Mo(4) - O(3^{i})$	164.0 (4)
O(5) - Mo(1) - O(3)	97.5 (4)	O(12)-Mo(4)-O(4)	98.3 (4)
O(5) - Mo(1) - O(4)	95.7 (4)	O(12)-Mo(4)-O(6)	100.8 (4)
$O(5^{i}) - Mo(2) - O(1)$	69.7 (3)	O(13)-Mo(4)-O(1)	160.3 (4)
O(6) - Mo(2) - O(1)	74.6 (3)	$O(13) - Mo(4) - O(3^{i})$	88.9 (4)
$O(6) - Mo(2) - O(5^{i})$	78.0 (4)	O(13)—Mo(4)—O(4)	101.4 (4)
O(7) - Mo(2) - O(1)	74.0 (3)	O(13)-Mo(4)-O(6)	100.6 (4)
$O(7) - Mo(2) - O(5^{i})$	78.1 (4)	O(13)-Mo(4)-O(12)	105.4 (5)
O(7)-Mo(2)-O(6)	145.5 (4)	$Mo(1) = O(1) = Mo(1^{i})$	103.7 (3)
O(8)-Mo(2)-O(1)	94.0 (4)	Mo(2)-O(1)-Mo(1)	164.1 (4)
$O(8) - Mo(2) - O(5^{i})$	163.7 (4)	$Mo(2) = O(1) = Mo(1^{1})$	92.1 (3)
O(8)—Mo(2)—O(6)	98.4 (4)	Mo(3) = O(1) = Mo(1)	92.2 (3)
O(8)-Mo(2)-O(7)	97.7 (4)	$Mo(3) = O(1) = Mo(1^{1})$	97.6 (3)
O(9)-Mo(2)-O(1)	161.2 (5)	Mo(3)-O(1)-Mo(2)	86.5 (3)
$O(9) - Mo(2) - O(5^{i})$	91.6 (5)	Mo(4) = O(1) = Mo(1)	91.4 (3)
O(9)-Mo(2)-O(6)	103.9 (5)	$Mo(4) = O(1) = Mo(1^{1})$	97.1 (3)
O(9)-Mo(2)-O(7)	101.2 (5)	Mo(4) = O(1) = Mo(2)	85.6 (3)
O(9)-Mo(2)-O(8)	104.7 (5)	Mo(4) - O(1) - Mo(3)	163.5 (4)
O(3)-Mo(3)-O(1)	73.0 (3)	Mo(3) - O(3) - Mo(1)	109.9 (4)
$O(4^{i}) - Mo(3) - O(1)$	71.7 (3)	$Mo(4^{1}) - O(3) - Mo(1)$	110.5 (4)
$O(4^{i}) - Mo(3) - O(3)$	71.5 (3)	Mo(4') - O(3) - Mo(3)	103.9 (4)
O(7)-Mo(3)-O(1)	78.1 (3)	$Mo(3^{1})-O(4)-Mo(1)$	110.4 (4)
O(7)-Mo(3)-O(3)	147.0 (3)	Mo(4) - O(4) - Mo(1)	109.0 (4)
$O(7) - Mo(3) - O(4^{i})$	84.8 (4)	$Mo(4) = O(4) = Mo(3^{i})$	104.1 (4)
O(10)-Mo(3)-O(1)	95.3 (4)	$Mo(2^{1}) - O(5) - Mo(1)$	117.6 (5)
O(10)-Mo(3)-O(3)	96.9 (4)	Mo(4)-O(6)-Mo(2)	117.2 (4)
$O(10) - Mo(3) - O(4^{i})$	164.4 (4)	Mo(3)-O(7)-Mo(2)	116.8 (5)
O(10) - Mo(3) - O(7)	101.2 (4)		

Table 2. Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Symmetry code: (i) 2 - x, 1 - y, -z.

We thank the National Science Foundation and Office of Naval Research for partial financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71329 (17 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: HH1072]

References

Atovmyan, L. O. & Krasochka, O. N. (1972). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 13, 342– 343.

- Carruthers, J. R., Watkin, D. J. & Betteridge, P. W. (1990). *CRYSTALS User Guide*. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Oxford, England.
- Day, V. W., Fredrich, M. F., Klemperer, W. G. & Shum, W. (1977). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 952-953.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Larson, A. C. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 664-665.
- Masters, A. F., Gheller, S. F., Brownlee, R. T. C., O'Connor, M. J. & Wedd, A. G. (1980). *Inorg. Chem.* 19, 3866-3868.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Strouse, C. E. (1982). UCLA Crystallographic Software. Univ. of California, Los Angeles, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1902-1905

Structure of Diaquatris(5,7-dimethyl[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-*a*]pyrimidine-*N*³)copper(II) Perchlorate Dihydrate

JUAN-MANUEL SALAS, MARIA-ANGUSTAS ROMERO, CARMEN ENRIQUE AND RAFAEL SIRERA

Departamento de Quimica Inorganica, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

René Faure*

Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique II, Université Claude Bernard, Lyon I, 43 boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne CEDEX, France

(Received 14 September 1992; accepted 26 April 1993)

Abstract

The synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound obtained by reaction of copper perchlorate with 5,7-dimethyl[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine (dmtp) are reported. The structure consists of $[Cu(dmtp)_3(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ coordination cations, ClO_4^{-} anions and interstitial water molecules. The Cu atom is coordinated by three N(3) atoms of triazolopyrimidine [Cu-N = 1.985(5),ligands 1.993 (4), 2.024 (4) Å] and two aqueous O atoms [Cu-O =1.979 (4), 2.245 (4) Å]. The Cu polyhedron is a trigonal bipyramid. This compound is the first dmtp complex with a ClO₄ anion and the first mononuclear complex involving three dmtp ligands, and whose crystal structure has been solved by X-ray diffraction.

Comment

Transition-metal complexes of purine analogs have been studied in order to elucidate the role of such

©1993 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved metal ions in interactions with nucleic acids. Among these purine analogs, the [1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine ring (tp) and its 5,7-dimethyl derivative (dmtp) have been studied as ligands (Dillen, Lenstra,



Haasnoot & Reedijk, 1983; Biagini Cingi, Manotti Lanfredi, Tiripicchio, Haasnoot & Reedijk, 1983, 1984; Biagini Cingi, Manotti Lanfredi, Tiripicchio, Reedijk & Haasnoot, 1986; Biagini Cingi, Manotti Lanfredi, Tiripicchio, Cornelissen, Haasnoot & Reedijk, 1986, 1987; Bamidele Sanni, Smits, Beurskens, Haasnoot, Schild & Lenstra, 1986). Since both ligands have no acidic H atoms on the ring N atoms, the isolated metal compounds involve negative species such as ligands or counteranions.

Different copper(II) complexes of tp and dmtp as ligands have been described in the literature and characterized by X-ray diffraction methods. Thus, in $[Cu(tp)_2(H_2O)Br_2]$ (van Albada, de Graaff, Haasnoot, Schild & Reedijk, 1991) the tp ligand is monodentate through N(3), whereas in $[Cu(tp)_2(NCS)_2]$ and [Cu(tp)₂Cl₂] (Biangini Cingi et al., 1987) the tp ligand is bidentate through N(3) and N(1). Nevertheless, in both compounds the Cu-N(1) contacts are long: 2.633 (6) and 2.692 (4) Å. In the complexes [Cu(dmtp)₂(NCS)₂] (Biagini Cingi et al., 1983), $[Cu(dmtp)_4(H_2O)_2](PF_6)_2$ (Favre, Haasnoot & Reedijk, 1986) and [Cu(NCS)2(dmtp)2]2 (Haasnoot, Driessen & Reedijk, 1984) N(3) is the sole metalbinding site, whereas in [Cu₄(dmtp)₄Cl₂][Cu₂Cl₄] (Haasnoot, Favre, Hinrichs & Reedijk, 1988) the dmtp acts as a bridging bidentate ligand via N(3) and N(4).

As part of our work on the coordination chemistry of purine analogs (Sirera, Romero, Salas, Sanchez & Moreno, 1991), we now report a new structure with the dmtp ligand.

The *PLUTO* drawing (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978) shows the asymmetric unit (Fig.1) with the complex cation $[Cu(C_7H_8N_4)_3(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$, the two ClO_4^- anions and the two non-coordinated O(w3) and O(w4) water molecules. The Cu atom is coordinated by three dmtp N(3) atoms and the two aqueous O atoms. The polyhedron is a trigonal bipyramid (Fig. 2); the base is defined by Cu, N(3A), N(3B) and O(w2); the apices are N(3C) and O(w1).

The dmtp ligand is as monodentate through N(3). The Cu-N(3) distances of 1.985 (5), 1.993 (4) and